Status: Tracking performance of EPIC

Berkeley EIC meeting

17. 10. 2023

Minjung Kim

Tracking performance evaluation



- From true particle (signal):
  - Generated hits
  - Particle trajectory represented by track parameters
- Track reconstruction:
  - Reconstructed (measured) hits
  - Reconstructed track from reconstructed (measured) hits
- Questions:
  - How many generated hits reconstructed (measured)?
  - How good does reconstructed track reproducing true particle?
  - How to distinguish the best track out of a set of duplicate tracks?

Matching between particle and track



- Matching can be complicated for the with high multiplicity events (having many signal particles)
- Matching using geometrical properties, i.e. eta and/or phi might be not enough
- Hit level matching: Association of reconstructed (measured) hits to generated hits: Matching with the particle giving largest contribution of hits for given track

Consistency between different matching methods



- Three different matching methods used:
  a) Hit level matching: check the source of hits in the track and matching to the particle giving maximum contribution
  b) pT based matching: matching reconstructed track with the particle having the closest value of pT

$$\text{haversine}\left(\frac{d}{r}\right) = \text{haversine}(\phi_2 - \phi_1) + \cos(\phi_1)\cos(\phi_2)\text{haversine}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)$$





- Angular distance based matching gives more than 98% consistent result with hit level matching

Fraction of hits from matching particle



- Most of tracks from one matching MC particle
- interesting to see how it is in more complicated events (i.e. high Q^2 DIS)
- Hit reconstruction efficiency, as well as track reconstruction efficiency should be accessed in other direction, from MC particle to reconstructed track



Duplicated tracks





backup

Status and outlook



- Missing information in standard “EICrecon” output:
  - Link between generated hits and true particle trajectory
    - ➡ Available in npsim output; hard wiring to EICrecon output
  - Link between measured hit and reconstructed track
    - ➡ Private modification of EICrecon (parallel to Shujie’s update) without modification of data models
- Solving duplicate track issue (ambiguity resolution) + tracking performance study including efficiency