"Performance Plot Goals for the TDR"

Ernst Sichtermann (LBNL)

EIC local meeting November 28, 2023

The EIC Project includes both the facility and the (project) detector,

The Project currently aims for CD-2 (baseline) approval in Spring 2025, and so far also for CD-3 (start of construction)

CD-(2)3 requires a (pre-)TDR,

The formal (pre-)TDR will thus presumably be a rather vast document driven by the EIC Project; (my) assumption is that the experiment component will be written to purpose on an aggressive timeline,

ePIC as a collaboration will likely want to pair this with one or more publications; (my) assumption is one more oriented towards the instrument and another more towards the nuclear science the instrument will enable,

My assumption is that the upcoming Collaboration meeting at ANL will serve as the kick-off meeting for these publications,

It seems, to me, natural to consider a subsystem (pre-)TDR as template for some of the work ahead.

(Pre-)TDR







CERN-LHCC-2013-024 02 December 2013

Technical Design Report for the Upgrade of the ALICE Inner Tracking System

The ALICE Collaboration

(Pre-)TDR

	6.4	Data transmission lines
	6.5	Power distribution and regulation1076.5.1DC-DC conversion108
7	Dat	actor parformanco
1	7 1	Experimental conditions 109
	7.2	Requirements 110
	7.3	Detector specifications 110
	7.4	Simulation tools and models
		7.4.1 Fast estimation tools \ldots
		7.4.2 Detailed Monte Carlo simulations
	7.5	Reconstruction tools
		7.5.1 Cluster finding $\ldots \ldots \ldots$
		7.5.2 Track finding
		7.5.3 Vertex finding and track-to-vertex association
	7.6	Track and vertex reconstruction performance
	7.7	Particle identification
		7.7.1 Simulation tool and truncated mean method
		7.7.2 PID efficiency and contamination
		7.7.3 Heavily ionizing particles
	7.8	Performance for improved or degraded sensor parameters 128
		renormance for improved of degraded sensor parameters
		7.8.1 Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy 130
8	Phy	7.8.1 Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy 130 vsics performance 133
8	Phy 8.1	7.8.1 Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy 130 sics performance Simulation methods and conditions
8	Phy 8.1	7.8.1 Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy
8	Phy 8.1	7.8.1 Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy 130 vsics performance 133 Simulation methods and conditions 133 8.1.1 Hybrid simulation method 133 8.1.2 Simulation conditions 134
8	Phy 8.1 8.2	7.8.1 Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy 130 vsics performance 133 Simulation methods and conditions 133 8.1.1 Hybrid simulation method 133 8.1.2 Simulation conditions 134 Heavy flavour 134
8	Phy 8.1 8.2	7.8.1 Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy 130 rsics performance 133 Simulation methods and conditions 133 8.1.1 Hybrid simulation method 133 8.1.2 Simulation conditions 134 Heavy flavour 134 8.2.1 Motivation 134
8	Phy 8.1 8.2	7.8.1 Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy 130 sics performance 133 Simulation methods and conditions 133 8.1.1 Hybrid simulation method 133 8.1.2 Simulation conditions 134 Heavy flavour 134 8.2.1 Motivation 134 8.2.2 Expected yields 135
8	Phy 8.1 8.2	7.8.1 Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy 130 sics performance 133 Simulation methods and conditions 133 8.1.1 Hybrid simulation method 133 8.1.2 Simulation conditions 134 Heavy flavour 134 8.2.1 Motivation 134 8.2.2 Expected yields 135 8.2.3 D mesons 136
8	Phy 8.1 8.2	7.8.1Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy1207.8.1Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy130sics performance133Simulation methods and conditions1338.1.1Hybrid simulation method1338.1.2Simulation conditions134Heavy flavour1348.2.1Motivation1348.2.2Expected yields1358.2.3D mesons1368.2.4Beauty141
8	Phy 8.1 8.2	7.8.1 Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy 130 sics performance 133 Simulation methods and conditions 133 8.1.1 Hybrid simulation method 133 8.1.2 Simulation conditions 134 Heavy flavour 134 8.2.1 Motivation 134 8.2.2 Expected yields 135 8.2.3 D mesons 136 8.2.4 Beauty 141 8.2.5 Heavy-flavour baryons 146
8	Phy 8.1 8.2	Tenter in protoco of degraded sensor parameters $\cdot \cdot \cdot$
8	Phy 8.1 8.2	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.
8	Phy 8.1 8.2	101111207.8.1Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy130sics performance133Simulation methods and conditions1338.1.1Hybrid simulation method1338.1.2Simulation conditions134Heavy flavour1348.2.1Motivation1348.2.2Expected yields1358.2.3D mesons1368.2.4Beauty1418.2.5Heavy flavour baryons1468.2.6Heavy flavour R_{AA} and v_2 1518.2.7D meson fragmentation function in jets154
8	Phy 8.1 8.2	10.10111111100 101 101111110011.207.8.1Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy130sics performance133Simulation methods and conditions1338.1.1Hybrid simulation method1338.1.2Simulation conditions134Heavy flavour1348.2.1Motivation1348.2.2Expected yields1358.2.3D mesons1368.2.4Beauty1418.2.5Heavy flavour baryons1468.2.6Heavy flavour R_{AA} and v_2 1518.2.7D meson fragmentation function in jets154Low-mass dielectrons1558.3.1Motivation155
8	Phy 8.1 8.2	10:10:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:1
8	Phy 8.1 8.2	10.101 marker for improved of degraded sensor parameters11207.8.1 Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy130sics performance133Simulation methods and conditions1338.1.1 Hybrid simulation method1338.1.2 Simulation conditions134Heavy flavour1348.2.1 Motivation1348.2.2 Expected yields1358.2.3 D mesons1368.2.4 Beauty1418.2.5 Heavy-flavour baryons1468.2.6 Heavy flavour baryons1518.2.7 D meson fragmentation function in jets154Low-mass dielectrons1558.3.1 Motivation1558.3.2 Experimental aspects and simulation inputs156
8	Phy 8.1 8.2 8.3	1011127.8.1Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy130sics performance133Simulation methods and conditions1338.1.1Hybrid simulation method1338.1.2Simulation conditions134Heavy flavour1348.2.1Motivation1348.2.2Expected yields1358.2.3D mesons1368.2.4Beauty1418.2.5Heavy flavour baryons1468.2.6Heavy flavour R_{AA} and v_2 1518.2.7D meson fragmentation function in jets154Low-mass dielectrons1558.3.1Motivation1558.3.2Experimental aspects and simulation inputs1558.3.3Electron reconstruction and background rejection1568.3.4Results on physics performance156
8	Phy 8.1 8.2 8.3	7.8.1Tracking efficiency vs. layer detection efficiency and redundancy130sics performance133Simulation methods and conditions1338.1.1Hybrid simulation method1338.1.2Simulation conditions134Heavy flavour1348.2.1Motivation1358.2.3D mesons1368.2.4Beauty1368.2.5Heavy-flavour baryons1468.2.6Heavy flavour R_{AA} and v_2 1518.2.7D meson fragmentation function in jets1558.3.1Motivation1558.3.2Experimental aspects and simulation inputs1558.3.3Electron reconstruction and background rejection1568.3.4Results on physics performance158Hypernuclei158

Detector performance and Physics performance are in addition to - not instead of - a vast amount of other work ahead...

Physics topics — my (personal) take:

- Needs three-ish topics guidance from:
 - NAS report emphasis on a) discovery potential from gluon self-interaction and b) imaging

 - (Yellow Report, DPAP proposals) \bullet

Natural then to consider core observables — at least:

- inclusive structure functions; F₂, F_L, F₂^{charm}, g₁,
- Di-hadron (-jet) correlations, inclusive and semi-inclusive (incoherent) diffractive cross-sections, \bullet
- Exclusive photon and vector-meson production off nucleons and nuclei (coherent diffraction), \bullet
- Semi-inclusive (spin-)structure functions as a function of transverse momentum (k_T and k_\perp),

•

(Pre-)TDR

Community white paper — (spin) structure, tomography, nuclear structure and saturation, hadronization

Detector performance and Physics performance are in addition to - not instead of - a vast amount of other work ahead...

Detector performance — ITS2 outline (chapter-7)

- Experimental conditions, requirements, detector specifications
- Simulation tools and models, reconstruction tools
- Track and vertex reconstruction performance
- What-if scenarios, including failure / redundancy questions

(Pre-)TDR



Figure 7.1: Performance of the ITS stand-alone and TPC+ITS combined reconstruction for different radial positions of the ITS layers.

Figure set from the ITS2 TDR — Chapter 7



Figure 7.2: Comparison of cluster charge from tuned simulation and test beam measurement for MIMOSA-32Ter P26 reference pixel at 30 °C.



Figure 7.3: Cluster size distributions for all the charged particles and for primary charged particles (empty red circles). The term *shared* denotes hits that contribute to more than one cluster (left). Resolution on x (red full circles) and z (blue empty circles) directions as a function of the cluster size (right).



Figure 7.4: Resolution on the primary vertex reconstruction as a function of the number of tracks used to determine the primary collision coordinates.





Figure 7.7: Transverse momentum resolution as a function of $p_{\rm T}$ for primary charged pions for the upgraded ITS. The results for the ITS stand-alone and ITS-TPC combined tracking mode are shown.



Figure 7.5: Track-matching efficiency between the TPC and upgraded ITS for different



Figure 7.6: Impact-parameter resolution for primary charged pions as a function of the transverse momentum for the current ITS and the upgraded ITS in the transverse plane (upper panel) and in the longitudinal direction (lower panel).





Figure 7.8: PID efficiency (closed symbols) and contamination (open symbols) as a function of the particle momentum assuming the relative abundances of π^+ , K⁺ and p as obtained from preliminary Pb–Pb data at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ GeV for different configurations: four layers 300 µm thick (black circles), four Outer Layers 40 µm thick of pixel detectors (red triangles) and four layers 20 µm thick silicon detectors (blue stars). Pions, kaons, and protons are shown in the left, middle and right panels respectively. In all plots, a line corresponding to a PID efficiency of 90% is drawn as a reference.



Figure 7.10: Mean values extracted from the Gaussian fit to the cluster size multiplicity distribution as a function of the momentum for the different simulated particle species (pions – black triangles, protons – blue circles, deuterons – magenta squares, ³He – red triangles, and ${}^{4}\text{He}$ – green dots).



Figure 7.9: Multiplicity distribution of the mean value of the cluster size for pions (red triangles) and ³He (blue dots) in the $6.1 \,\text{GeV}/c$ to $6.2 \,\text{GeV}/c$ momentum range (left). Multiplicity distribution of the mean value of the cluster size for pions (red triangles) and ⁴He (black squares) in the $6.1 \,\text{GeV}/c$ to $6.2 \,\text{GeV}/c$ momentum range (right). In both figures, a Gaussian fit is superimposed to the distributions and the μ and σ values of the fits are reported in the legend.



Figure 7.11: Pointing resolution, momentum resolution, and tracking efficiency obtained with the stand-alone upgraded ITS reconstruction assuming different space-point resolutions. For comparison, the performance of the current ITS is shown as well.



Figure 7.12: Top panels: Stand-alone tracking efficiency (left) and pointing resolution (right) for charged pions as a function of the transverse momentum for the current ITS and different material-budget options for the upgraded detector. Bottom panels: transverse momentum resolution for charged pions as a function of $p_{\rm T}$ for the current ITS and different material-budget options for the upgraded detector (the results for the ITS stand-alone and ITS+TPC combined tracking are shown on the left and on the right, respectively).





Figure 7.14: Tracking efficiency for the upgraded ITS. The two worst scenarios for the tracking efficiency where layer 3 (red) or layer 2 (blue) is dead is compared to the case of all layers working properly.



Figure 7.15: Momentum (left) and impact parameter (right) resolution for the upgraded ITS. The worst scenarios for the momentum (left) and impact parameter (right) resolution are compared to the case of all layers properly working. The momentum resolution for combined ITS and TPC tracking stays practically unchanged.



Detector performance and Physics performance are in addition to - not instead of - a vast amount of other work ahead...

Detector performance — ITS2 outline (chapter-7)

- Experimental conditions, requirements, datector specifications lacksquare
- Simulation tools and models, reconstruction tools
- Track and vertex reconstruction performance
- What-if scenarios, including failure / redundancy questions

My (personal) annotation(s):

- Consider track-pair resolutions (invariant mass), possibly resolutions within jets,
- Consider effects of mis-alignments.

(Pre-)TDR

• ADC or cluster-size based PID figures not immediately applicable — tracking into PID subsystems certainly is,