Table Adapted from A. Mastbaum

Table adapted from G. Orebi Gann

	Priorities			
Physics	Size	Ring Imaging	Light Yield	Cleanliness
NLDBD	~ few kt	Medium	Very high	Very high
Low-E solar ν (<1 MeV)	~ 10 kt	High	Very high	Very high
High-E solar ν (>1 MeV)	> 50 kt	High	Low	High
Geo/reactor v	~ 10 kt	Low	High	Medium
DSNB	> 50 kt	Low	High	Medium
Long-baseline ν	> 50 kt	Very high	Low	Low
Nucleon decay	> 100 kt	High	High	Low

Target Material	WbLS Good Cher/scint ratio Good optics (maybe?) Low(ish) Cost Tunable light yield neutrino NC reaction on O Low scint yield High density Low index of refraction High(ish) Z Hard (er) to clean	Liquid Scintillator Good scint light yield High index (good Chertons) Easy to clean Poor(er) optics High cost Poor Cher/scint ratio	Fast Liquid Scintillator Good recon Good multi-site Easy to clean Poor(er) optics High cost Poor Cherenkov ID	Slow Liquid Scintillator Great Cher/scint separation Easy to clean Poor(er) optics High cost Poor multi-site/recon	 "Perfect" Cher/scint separation Easy to clean from heavy contaminants (U, Th) Great optics Super scint light yield Great PSD (a/b) Intrinsic bkds: 39Ar, 42Ar/42K, 38Cl High multiple scattering Requires WLS cryostat reduces fid. Vol
Photon Sensors/collect ors	LAPPDs Excellent timing High pixelization Dichroicons with planar sensors? Low(er) QE than HQE PMTs High cost	R14688s (Eos) Very good timing Very good QE f Not cheap/m²	R7081s (ICECUBE) Cheap(er) per m² Very good QE Poor(er) timing Needs pressure test	SiPMs Excellent QE Excellent timing Problematic dark rate Very expensive/m²	 Dichroicons Excellent Cher/scint sep even in fast, high ly scintillator Cost ~ sensor cost Response is complex Cher yield is low
Location	SURF FD4 Exists! Beam physics Good depth But DUNE Shape not optimal	SURF Other Better shape? No DUNE Good depth Beam physics Does not exist	SNOLAB Better shape? No DUNE Excellent dept Does not exist No beam		