Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement 00000

Comparison w/ mean field

Entanglement and collective flavor oscillations in a dense neutrino gas

Amol V. Patwardhan

N3AS postdoctoral fellow (UC Berkeley)

University of Washington (INT) Formerly @UW-Madison



January 8, 2020

Amol V. Patwardhan

Entanglement and collective neutrino oscillations 1

1/30

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field 0000000

The N3AS collaboration

- Network in Neutrinos, Nuclear Astrophysics, and Symmetries funded by National Science Foundation (NSF) and Heising-Simons Foundation
- Multi-institutional network (3 centers + 8 sites) dedicated to recruiting and training postdocs, fostering collaborative efforts, and advancing research in the following areas:
 - Neutrino physics and astrophysics
 - Nucleosynthesis
 - Dense matter
 - Dark matter
- 8 postdocs currently supported

```
• https://n3as.wordpress.com/
```

2/30

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement 00000

Comparison w/ mean field 0000000

Today's talk

- Introduction to neutrino oscillations (effective one-particle description)
- Neutrino many-body Hamiltonian and its symmetries, eigenvalues, and eigenstates
- Using eigenvalues and eigenstates to study adiabatic flavor evolution of a neutrino many-body system
- Measures to quantify entanglement in the system
- Comparison between flavor evolution in the many-body approach and in the mean-field description

(B)

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

References

🛸 Michael J. Cervia. Amol V. Patwardhan. A. B. Balantekin. S. N. Coppersmith, and Calvin W. Johnson Phys. Rev. D 100, 083001 (2019), arXiv:1908.03511



🛸 Amol V. Patwardhan, Michael J. Cervia, and A. B. Balantekin Phys. Rev. D 99, 123013 (2019), arXiv:1905.04386



Michael J. Cervia, Amol V. Patwardhan, and A. B. Balantekin IJMPE 28 (2019) 1950032, arXiv:1905.00082

Amol V. Patwardhan

Entanglement and collective neutrino oscillations 4/30

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

Additional reading



Ermal Rrapaj arXiv:1905.13335



💊 Savas Birol, Y. Pehlivan, A. B. Balantekin, and T. Kajino Phys. Rev. D 98, 083002 (2018), arXiv:1805.11767

嗪 Y. Pehlivan, A. B. Balantekin, Toshitaka Kajino, and Takashi Yoshida Phys. Rev. D 84, 065008 (2011), arXiv:1105.1182



💊 Alexandre Faribault, Omar El Araby, Christoph Sträter, and Vladimir Gritsev Phys. Rev. B 83, 235124 (2011), arXiv:1103.0472



Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement 00000

Comparison w/ mean field 0000000

Outline

1 Neutrino oscillations: effective one-particle description

2 Many-body treatment of neutrino oscillations

- 3 Adiabatic evolution and entanglement measures
- 4 Comparison with mean-field calculations

(B)

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field 0000000

Neutrino oscillations (in vacuum)

 Neutrino weak-interaction (flavor) eigenstates not aligned with propagation (energy/mass) eigenstates

$$\begin{aligned} |\nu_e\rangle &= \cos\theta \, |\nu_1\rangle + \sin\theta \, |\nu_2\rangle \\ |\nu_x\rangle &= -\sin\theta \, |\nu_1\rangle + \cos\theta \, |\nu_2\rangle \end{aligned}$$

 As neutrinos propagate, mass eigenstates gather quantum mechanical phase at different rates, leading to oscillations

$$P_{ex} = \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Delta m^2 L}{4E_\nu}\right)$$

Amol V. Patwardhan

One-particle description

0000

LBNL Wick Symposium

- E > - E >

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field 0000000

Neutrino flavor evolution: matter effects

Matter backgrounds (electrons, nucleons, etc.) modify flavor evolution: "effective mass" through neutrino forward scattering. Mass level crossing $H_{\nu_e\nu_e} = H_{\nu_x\nu_x} \implies$ MSW resonance



Wolfenstein (1978, '79) Mikheyev & Smirnov (1985) Bethe (1986) Haxton (1986) Parke (1986) and so on ...

★ ∃ ►

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

Neutrino-neutrino forward scattering

• Nonlinearity: Hamiltonian driving the flavor evolution depends on the flavor composition

$$H_{\nu\nu} = \sqrt{2}G_F \sum_{\alpha} \left[\int_{\nu} dn_{\nu,\alpha} \, \rho_{\nu,\alpha}(\mathbf{p}')(1 - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}') \, - \, \int_{\bar{\nu}} dn_{\bar{\nu},\alpha} \, \rho_{\bar{\nu},\alpha}(\mathbf{p}')(1 - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}') \right]$$

• Important at large neutrino number densities/fluxes: e.g., supernovae, compact object mergers, early universe



Figure: Left: spectral swap (Duan *et al.*, 2006). Right: matter-neutrino resonance (Malkus *et al.*, 2014).

Many-body Hamiltonian • 00000000 Flavor evolution & entanglement 00000

Comparison w/ mean field

Outline

Neutrino oscillations: effective one-particle description

2 Many-body treatment of neutrino oscillations

- 3 Adiabatic evolution and entanglement measures
- 4 Comparison with mean-field calculations

Amol V. Patwardhan

Entanglement and collective neutrino oscillations 10/30

LBNL Wick Symposium

(B)

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

Neutrino oscillations: flavor/mass isospin operators

• Denote Fermionic operators for neutrino flavor/mass states as $a_{\alpha}(\mathbf{p})$, $a_j(\mathbf{p})$, where $\alpha = e, x$, and j = 1, 2

$$a_e(\mathbf{p}) = \cos\theta \, a_1(\mathbf{p}) + \sin\theta \, a_2(\mathbf{p})$$
$$a_x(\mathbf{p}) = -\sin\theta \, a_1(\mathbf{p}) + \cos\theta \, a_2(\mathbf{p})$$

• Introduce the mass-basis isospin operators

$$J_{\mathbf{p}}^{+} = a_{1}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})a_{2}(\mathbf{p}) , \qquad J_{\mathbf{p}}^{-} = a_{2}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})a_{1}(\mathbf{p}) ,$$
$$J_{\mathbf{p}}^{z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(a_{1}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})a_{1}(\mathbf{p}) - a_{2}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})a_{2}(\mathbf{p}) \right) ,$$

which obey the usual SU(2) commutation relations

$$[J_{\mathbf{p}}^+, J_{\mathbf{q}}^-] = 2\delta_{\mathbf{pq}}J_{\mathbf{p}}^z , \qquad [J_{\mathbf{p}}^z, J_{\mathbf{q}}^\pm] = \pm\delta_{\mathbf{pq}}J_{\mathbf{p}}^\pm.$$

Amol V. Patwardhan

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement 00000

Comparison w/ mean field

Neutrino oscillations: many-body Hamiltonian

• Vacuum oscillations:

$$H_{\text{vac}} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \left(\frac{m_1^2}{2p} a_1^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}) a_1(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{m_2^2}{2p} a_2^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}) a_2(\mathbf{p}) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{\omega} \omega \vec{B} \cdot \vec{J}_{\omega} ,$$

where
$$\omega = \frac{\delta m^2}{2|\mathbf{p}|}$$
, $\vec{J}_{\omega} = \sum_{|\mathbf{p}| = \frac{\delta m^2}{2\omega}} \vec{J}_{\mathbf{p}}$, and
 $\vec{B} = (0, 0, -1)_{\text{mass}} = (\sin 2\theta, 0, -\cos 2\theta)_{\text{flavor}}$

Neutrino-neutrino interactions

$$H_{\nu\nu} = \frac{\sqrt{2}G_F}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \left(1 - \cos\vartheta_{\mathbf{pq}}\right) \vec{J}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \vec{J}_{\mathbf{q}} \; .$$

< □ > < @ >

Amol V. Patwardhan

A B A A B A

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

Neutrino Hamiltonian: single-angle approximation

 $\bullet\,$ Suitable averaging over the angle $\vartheta_{{\bf p}{\bf q}}$ to simplify the problem

$$H_{\nu\nu} \approx \frac{\sqrt{2}G_F}{V} \langle (1 - \cos \vartheta_{\mathbf{pq}}) \rangle \vec{J} \cdot \vec{J} \qquad \text{(includes } J_p^2 \text{ terms)}$$
$$\equiv \mu(r) \vec{J} \cdot \vec{J}, \qquad \text{where } \vec{J} = \sum_{\omega} \vec{J}_{\omega}$$

 Many-body neutrino Hamiltonian with vacuum and ν-ν interactions (two-flavor, single-angle):

$$H_{\nu} = \sum_{p=1}^{M} \omega_p \vec{B} \cdot \vec{J}_p + \mu(r) \vec{J} \cdot \vec{J},$$

where p is an index for the $\omega {\rm s}$ in the system, M in number

Amol V. Patwardhan

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement 00000

Comparison w/ mean field

Mean-field (random phase) approximation



Figure: Volpe et al., 2013

- In an effective one-particle approximation, a single neutrino is described as interacting with an average potential created by all other particles in the medium (including neutrinos)
- Operator product $\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2$ approximated as

$$\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2 \sim \mathcal{O}_1\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle + \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle \mathcal{O}_2 - \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle.$$

Above expectation values are calculated w.r.t state $|\Psi\rangle$ which satisfies $\langle O_1 O_2 \rangle = \langle O_1 \rangle \langle O_2 \rangle$

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement 00000

Comparison w/ mean field

Mean-field (random phase) approximation

• This method yields the effective one-particle neutrino Hamiltonian

$$H \sim H^{\rm RPA} = \sum_{\omega} \omega \vec{B} \cdot \vec{J}_{\omega} + \mu \vec{P} \cdot \vec{J} \; , \label{eq:Hamiltonian}$$

where $\vec{P}_\omega=2\langle\vec{J}_\omega\rangle$ is the "Polarization vector", and $\vec{P}=\sum_\omega\vec{P}_\omega$

• The self-consistency requirement of the mean-field approach then implies that \vec{P}_ω must satisfy

$$\frac{d}{dt}\vec{P}_{\omega} = (\omega\vec{B} + \mu\vec{P}) \times \vec{P}_{\omega}$$

Amol V. Patwardhan

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

Neutrino Hamiltonian: symmetries and invariants

• There exist N mutually commuting operators h_p :

$$h_p = -J_p^z + 2\mu \sum_{\substack{q=1\\q\neq p}}^N \frac{\vec{J_p} \cdot \vec{J_q}}{\omega_p - \omega_q} \qquad \qquad \text{("Gaudin magnets")}$$

•
$$[h_p,h_q]=0$$
 and $H_{
u}=\sum_p \omega_p h_p$, so that $[H,h_p]=0$

- In addition, $J^z = \sum_p J_p^z$ also commutes with the Hamiltonian $\implies n_{\nu_1} n_{\nu_2}$ is a conserved quantity
- Hamiltonian exhibits numerous energy level crossings the invariants can be used to show the validity of the adiabatic approximation if μ varies smoothly

Amol V. Patwardhan

LBNL Wick Symposium

A B K A B K

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

Neutrino Hamiltonian: eigenvalues and eigenstates

- Eigenvalues and eigenstates obtained using procedure derived from Richardson-Gaudin diagonalization (a.k.a. "Bethe-Ansatz" method)
 — Patwardhan et al., Phys. Rev. D 99, 123013 (2019)
- For a system where $j_p = 1/2 \ \forall p$, the eigenproblem can be mapped onto a system of coupled quadratic equations:

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_q^2 + \tilde{\Lambda}_q = \mu \sum_{\substack{p=1\\p \neq q}}^N \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_q - \tilde{\Lambda}_p}{\omega_q - \omega_p}$$

 $\tilde{\Lambda}_p$ are related to eigenvalues of the invariants h_p . Bethe-Ansatz equations equivalent to polynomial relations between invariants h_p (Cervia et al., arXiv:1905.00082)

• Trivial solution for $\mu = 0$: each $\tilde{\Lambda}_q = 0$ or -1. Numerical solutions for $\mu > 0$ using homotopy continuation + Newton-Raphson

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

Neutrino Hamiltonian: eigenvalues and eigenstates

• In terms of the parameters $\Lambda_q = \tilde{\Lambda}_q/\mu$, the eigenvalues are given by

$$E(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_N) = -\sum_p \frac{\omega_p}{2} + \mu \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{N}{2} + 1\right) - \mu \sum_p \omega_p \Lambda_p.$$

 Eigenstates are given by e_κ |ν₁,...,ν₁⟩, where the operator e_κ is the κ-th elementary symmetric polynomial of the Gaudin lowering operators. Can be calculated by recursively applying the following identities, for k = 1,..., κ.

$$P_{f}(\Lambda_{1},...,\Lambda_{N}) = \sum_{p_{1}=1}^{M} \cdots \sum_{p_{f}=1}^{M} J_{p_{1}}^{-} \cdots J_{p_{f}}^{-} \sum_{m=1}^{f} \Lambda_{p_{m}} \prod_{\substack{l=1\\l \neq m}}^{f} \frac{1}{\omega_{p_{l}} - \omega_{p_{m}}}.$$
$$e_{k}(\Lambda_{1},...,\Lambda_{N}) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i-1} e_{k-i} P_{i},$$

Amol V. Patwardhan

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement •0000 Comparison w/ mean field 0000000

Outline

Neutrino oscillations: effective one-particle description

2 Many-body treatment of neutrino oscillations

3 Adiabatic evolution and entanglement measures

Comparison with mean-field calculations

Amol V. Patwardhan

Entanglement and collective neutrino oscillations 19/

19/30

LBNL Wick Symposium

(B)

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

Adiabatic evolution of a many-body neutrino system

- Eigenvalues and eigenvectors facilitate calculating the adiabatic evolution of the many-body neutrino system, starting from any given initial condition, as μ is varied
- Consider an initial many-body state, $|\Psi_0
 angle\equiv|\Psi(\mu_0)
 angle$
 - Example: in the (two-)flavor-basis, $|
 u_e
 u_x
 u_e
 u_e
 angle$
- May be decomposed into the basis of energy eigenstates: $|\Psi(\mu_0)\rangle = \sum_n c_n \, |e_n(\mu_0)\rangle$
- If μ were to change sufficiently slowly then the system adiabatically evolves into

$$|\Psi(\mu)\rangle \simeq \sum_{n} c_{n} e^{-i \int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} \frac{E_{n}(\mu')}{d\mu'/dt} d\mu'} |e_{n}(\mu)\rangle$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

Quantum entanglement in many-body neutrino systems

- In general, for $\mu > 0$, the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian are not factorizable into tensor products of individual neutrino states, and may therefore be described as entangled
- A system may initially start in a pure state—which happens to be a particular superposition of energy eigenstates. However, as the coefficients describing the superposition change with time (as do the eigenstates themselves), the system can become entangled. This is a feature unique to many-body systems, and cannot be observed in mean-field calculations
- Such entanglement may be quantified in terms of measures such as entropy of entanglement, length of individual neutrino polarization vectors, etc.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

Summary of entanglement measures Density Matrix, Polarization Vector, & Entanglement Entropy

Consider a pure, many-body neutrino state $\rho = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$. Single-neutrino reduced density matrix: $\rho_q \equiv \text{Tr}_{1,\dots,\widehat{q},\dots,N}[\rho]$, given by (^ denotes exclusion)

$$\rho_q = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,\widehat{i_q},\ldots,i_N=1}^2 \left\langle \nu_{i_1}\ldots\widehat{\nu_{i_q}}\ldots\nu_{i_N} |\rho|\nu_{i_1}\ldots\widehat{\nu_{i_q}}\ldots\nu_{i_N} \right\rangle,$$

• $S(\omega_q)$, Entropy of entanglement between neutrino q and rest:

$$S(\omega_q) = -\text{Tr}[\rho_q \log \rho_q]$$

• "Polarization vector" of neutrino q, $\vec{P}(\omega_q) = 2 \langle \vec{J_q} \rangle$, related to the reduced density matrix as:

$$\rho_q = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{I} + \vec{P}(\omega_q) \cdot \vec{\sigma} \right)$$

Amol V. Patwardhan

Entanglement and collective neutrino oscillations 22/30

LBNL Wick Symposium

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

Relations between entanglement measures

Entanglement entropy has a one-to-one, inverse relationship with the magnitude of the polarization vector

$$S(P_q) = -\frac{1 - P_q}{2} \log\left(\frac{1 - P_q}{2}\right) - \frac{1 + P_q}{2} \log\left(\frac{1 + P_q}{2}\right)$$

with $P_q = |\vec{P}(\omega_q)|$ • $P = 1 \iff S = 0$ (Unentangled) • $P = 0 \iff S = \log(2)$ (Maximally Entangled)

A B A A B A

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement 00000

Comparison w/ mean field ••••••

Outline

Neutrino oscillations: effective one-particle descriptior

2 Many-body treatment of neutrino oscillations

3 Adiabatic evolution and entanglement measures

4 Comparison with mean-field calculations

(B)

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

Example: evolution of all-electron flavor initial state Comparison of many-body and mean-field calculations

- System with frequencies ω_1,\ldots,ω_N where $\omega_p=p\omega_0$
- Evolve from $|\Psi_0\rangle = |\nu_e \dots \nu_e\rangle$ for systems of varying sizes $(N=2,\dots,9)$
- As $\mu \sim 0$ $(r \gg R_{\nu})$, H diagonal in mass-basis, therefore plot final spectra in the mass-basis: $P_z = n(\nu_1) n(\nu_2)$



Amol V. Patwardhan

Entanglement and collective neutrino oscillations 25/30

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field 000000

Correlation of P_z -discrepancies and entanglement entropy

Calculate $\Delta P_z(\omega) \equiv |P_z^{\rm MF}(\omega) - P_z^{\rm MB}(\omega)|$ at $r \gg R_\nu$ (i.e., $\mu \approx 0$)

- For N=4: all initial conditions with definite flavor ν_e, ν_x (e.g., $|\nu_e, \nu_r, \nu_r, \nu_r\rangle$)
- For N = 8: same ICs as N = 4, but with four additional ν_e appended to left or right of spectrum



Amol V. Patwardhan

Entanglement and collective neutrino oscillations

One-particle description Mar 0000 000

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement 00000

Comparison w/ mean field

Example: initial condition with both neutrino flavors Comparison of final P_z spectra between many-body and mean-field

• Evolve
$$|\Psi_0
angle = |
u_e
u_e
u_e
u_e
u_x
u_x
u_x
u_x
angle$$
 until $r \gg R_{
u}$



[Cervia et al., Phys. Rev. D 100, 083001 (2019)]

Spectral swap-like features persist in the many-body calculations, but are less sharp relative to mean-field calculations

Amol V. Patwardhan

Entanglement and collective neutrino oscillations 27/30

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

Comparison of P_z evolution with r

• Same initial conditions, $|\Psi_0\rangle = |\nu_e \nu_e \nu_e \nu_e \nu_x \nu_x \nu_x \nu_x \rangle$



[Cervia et al., Phys. Rev. D 100, 083001 (2019)]

Amol V. Patwardhan

Entanglement and collective neutrino oscillations 28/30

LBNL Wick Symposium

• = • •

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

Conclusions

- Calculations of collective neutrino flavor evolution typically rely on a 'mean-field', i.e., effective one-particle description
- Important to test the efficacy and/or limitations of the mean-field by performing many-body calculations
- Evolution in the many-body case can be studied by calculating the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian by solving the Bethe Ansatz equations (or an equivalent set of equations)
- For certain simple systems, qualititive differences in flavor evolution observed between many-body and mean-field treatments, resulting from entangled states which are absent in the mean-field limit

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement 00000

Comparison w/ mean field

Future Work



- Next steps in calculations
 - Larger N + inclusion of $\bar{\nu}$ ($\omega<0)$
 - Matter (MSW) potential
 - Multiple neutrinos in frequency bins $(j_p > 1/2)$
 - Beyond single-angle approximation $\mu
 ightarrow \mu_{\mathbf{pq}}$
- Hierarchical incorporation of multi-particle correlations
- Couple to baryons—how are nucleosynthetic yields affected?

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement 00000

Comparison w/ mean field

Bonus slides

Amol V. Patwardhan Ent

Entanglement and collective neutrino oscillations 31/30

LBNL Wick Symposium

э

<ロト <問ト < 目と < 目と





Entanglement and collective neutrino oscillations 32/30 LBNL

LBNL Wick Symposium

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

Many-body Hamiltonian

One-particle description

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field 0000000

< 3 >

Comparison of Intermediate P_z Spectra While $r \gtrsim R_{\nu}$, N = 2 mono-flavor initially

• $|\Psi_0\rangle = |\nu_e \nu_e\rangle$, and observe P_z before $r \gg R_{\nu}$



Many-body Hamiltonian

One-particle description

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field

< 3 >

Comparison of Intermediate P_z Spectra While $r \gtrsim R_{\nu}$, N = 2 different-flavor initially

• $|\Psi_0\rangle = |\nu_e \nu_x\rangle$, and observe P_z before $r \gg R_{\nu}$



Amol V. Patwardhan Entanglement and collective neutrino oscillations 34/30 LBNL Wick Symposium

Many-body Hamiltonian

Flavor evolution & entanglement

Comparison w/ mean field 0000000

Entanglement in Individual Eigenstates

- Eigenstates for N = 5, entanglement of N-th ν with the rest
- Hightest/lowest-weight states are trivial



Amol V. Patwardhan

Entanglement and collective neutrino oscillations