From electron-positron photoproduction in strong laser fields to QED cascades

Workshop on High Energy Density Physics with BELLA-i 21.1.2016

Sebastian Meuren

Karen Z. Hatsagortsyan,

Christoph H. Keitel, Antonino Di Piazza



MPI for Nuclear Physics, Heidelberg (Germany)

Outline of the talk



• Introduction to strong-field QED

- Why should we study Strong-Field QED?
- Intuitive explanation of the QED critical field
- Phenomena related to the nonlinear regime of QED
- From a single vertex to a QED cascade

• First part: nonlinear Breit-Wheeler pair production (SM)

- Semiclassical description
- Difference between classical and quantum absorption
- Initial conditions for the classical propagation
- Momentum distribution of the created pairs
- Importance of interference effects

• Second part: QED cascades (Matteo Tamburini)

- Why are QED cascades interesting?
- How to seed a QED cascade: high-Z vs. low-Z gas
- Importance of the laser-field structure: ponderomotive pressure
- Numerical results

Motivation: Why do we want to test nonlinear QED?



QED: eletrons, positrons and photons

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{QED}} = ar{\psi} \left(\mathrm{i} \not\!{\partial} - e \not\!{A} - m
ight) \psi - rac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\mu
u} \mathcal{F}^{\mu
u}, \quad \mathcal{F}^{\mu
u} = \partial^{\mu} \mathcal{A}^{
u} - \partial^{
u} \mathcal{A}^{\mu}$$

- Here, ϵ_0 , \hbar and c are set to unity (sometimes restored for clarity)
- The characteristic scales of atomic physics and QED are determined by the electron mass (m) and charge (e < 0)

QED	Atomic physics
${\cal E}=mc^2\sim 10^6{ m eV}$	$\mathcal{E}_{H} = (Zlpha)^{2}\mathcal{E}/2 \sim Z^{2} imes 10\mathrm{eV}$
$\lambda_C = \hbar c/(mc^2) \sim 10^{-13}\mathrm{m}$	$a_B = \lambda_C/(Zlpha) \sim Z^{-1} imes 10^{-10} \mathrm{m}$
$E_{ m cr} = (mc^2)^2/(e \hbar c) \sim 10^{16}{ m V/cm}$	$E_{ m eff} = (Zlpha)^3 E_{ m cr} \sim Z^3 imes 10^{10} { m V/cm}$

 $\alpha = e^2/(4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c) \approx 1/137$: fine-structure constant, Z: atomic number

Conceptual changes		
Energy	${\mathcal E}$	nonrelativistic vs. relativistic description
Length	λ _c	classical vs. quantum field theory
Field	$E_{\rm cr}$	vacuum vs. nonlinear QED

Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

Motivation: Why do we want to test nonlinear QED?



QED: eletrons, positrons and photons

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{QED}} = ar{\psi} \left(\mathrm{i} \not\!{\partial} - e \not\!{A} - m
ight) \psi - rac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\mu
u} \mathcal{F}^{\mu
u}, \quad \mathcal{F}^{\mu
u} = \partial^{\mu} \mathcal{A}^{
u} - \partial^{
u} \mathcal{A}^{\mu}$$

- Here, ϵ_0 , \hbar and c are set to unity (sometimes restored for clarity)
- The characteristic scales of atomic physics and QED are determined by the electron mass (m) and charge (e < 0)

QED	Atomic physics
${\cal E}=mc^2\sim 10^6{ m eV}$	$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}} = (Z\alpha)^2 \mathcal{E}/2 \sim Z^2 imes 10 \mathrm{eV}$
$\lambda_C = \hbar c/(mc^2) \sim 10^{-13}\mathrm{m}$	$a_B = \lambda_C/(Zlpha) \sim Z^{-1} imes 10^{-10} \mathrm{m}$
$E_{ m cr} = (mc^2)^2/(e \hbar c) \sim 10^{16}{ m V/cm}$	$E_{ m eff} = (Zlpha)^3 E_{ m cr} \sim Z^3 imes 10^{10} { m V/cm}$
2//A +) - 1/107 C	

Main motivation for strong-field QED:

- $E_{\rm cr}$ is a fundamental scale of the theory
- Probing $E \gtrsim E_{\rm cr}$ means testing the theory in a new regime
- $\bullet~$ Maybe our current understanding is insufficient \longrightarrow new physics!

Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

3 / 17

Nonlinear quantum electrodynamics

Sauter-Schwinger vacuum instability

- A pure electric field $E \ge E_{cr}$ is unstable, it decays spontaneously First observation: Sauter (1931), First modern calculation: Schwinger (1951)



- Heuristic derivation of the critical field $E_{\rm cr} = 1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ V/cm}$:
 - Spatial extend of the fluctuations (Heisenberg): $\sim \lambda_{C} = \hbar/(mc)$
 - Energy gap between virtual and real (Einstein): $\sim \textit{mc}^2$
 - Work by the field (Lorentz force): $\sim E \, |e| \, \lambda_C \longrightarrow E_{
 m cr} = mc^2/(|e| \, \lambda_C)$

 $\bullet\,$ In vacuum $\it I_{\rm cr}=4.6\times10^{29}\,{\rm W/cm^2}$ is not achievable in the near future:

	$\sim \hbar \omega$	Future facilities	I (intensity)	current
optical	$1\mathrm{eV}$	CLF, ELI, XCELS,	$10^{24-25} \mathrm{W/cm^2}$	$10^{22} { m W/cm^2}$
x-ray	$10\mathrm{keV}$	LCLS-II, XFEL,	$10^{27} \mathrm{W/cm^2}$ (goal)	$10^{18} { m W/cm^2}$

Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

4 / 17

Nonlinear quantum electrodynamics

How to reach the critical field with existing technology



- The laser intensity / is not a Lorentz scalar ($l' \sim \gamma^2 l$, $\gamma = \epsilon/m$)
- Critical intensity $I_{\rm cr} = 4.6 \times 10^{29} \, {\rm W/cm^2}$ is obtainable in the boosted frame if $\gamma \sim 10^3 10^4$ even if $I \lesssim 10^{22} \, {\rm W/cm^2}$ (optical Petawatt system)



 For very strong fields the simultaneous interaction with several laser photons becomes important – describable using "dressed" states:



How to reach the critical field with existing technology



- The laser intensity / is not a Lorentz scalar ($I' \sim \gamma^2 I$, $\gamma = \epsilon/m$)
- Critical intensity $I_{\rm cr} = 4.6 \times 10^{29} \, {\rm W/cm^2}$ is obtainable in the boosted frame if $\gamma \sim 10^3 10^4$ even if $I \lesssim 10^{22} \, {\rm W/cm^2}$ (optical Petawatt system)



 $\hbar\omega_{\gamma}=$ 2.9 ${\rm GeV}$ N. Muramatsu, et al., NIMA 737, 184–194 (2014)

 \longrightarrow Investigate the fundamental processes using an all-optical setup!

Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

5/1

Nonlinear quantum electrodynamics

Dressed states and the classical intensity parameter





Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

Dressed states and the classical intensity parameter





Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

Pair production and the quantum nonlinearity parameter





Spontaneous decay of the vacuum

- Sizable if $E\gtrsim E_{\rm cr}=m^2c^3/(\hbar\,|e|)$ (at the QED critical field)
- Probability: $\sim \exp(-\pi E_{cr}/E)$ (for a pure electric field)

Breit-Wheeler pair production



Decay of an incoming photon

- Sizable if $\chi \gtrsim 1$ (critical field reached in the boosted frame)
- Probability: $\sim \exp \left[-8/(3\chi)\right]$ (if $\chi \ll 1$ and $\xi \gg 1$)

Electron-positron photoproduction depends crucially on the quantum nonlinearity parameter

$$\chi \sim \frac{|\mathbf{e}|\,\hbar}{m^3 c^4} \sqrt{\langle q^{\mu} F_{\mu\nu}^2 q^{\nu} \rangle} \sim (2\hbar\omega_{\gamma}/mc^2) (E/E_{cr})$$

 $[\hbar\omega_{\gamma}:$ energy of the incoming photon; last relation assumes a head-on collision]

- The photon four-momentum is transfered at the vertex
- Pair is produced ultra relativistic, background field is boosted

Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

Pair production and the quantum nonlinearity parameter



Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

7 / 17

Nonlinear quantum electrodynamics

From a single vertex to a QED cascade





- The total probability $P \sim \alpha \xi N$ for the fundamental processes can become very large [$\alpha \approx 1/137$, N: number of laser cycles]
- At a certain point processes with many vertices become important
- Starting from a single particle a cascade developes



From a single vertex to a QED cascade





- The total probability $P \sim \alpha \xi N$ for the fundamental processes can become very large [$\alpha \approx 1/137$, N: number of laser cycles]
- At a certain point processes with many vertices become important
- Starting from a single particle a cascade developes

Trident pair production

QED cascade

Seminal SLAC E-144 experiment:

 $\epsilon = 46.6 \,\text{GeV} \ (\gamma \sim 10^5), \ \hbar \omega = 2.4 \,\text{eV}, \ I \sim 10^{18} \,\text{W/cm}^2 \ (\xi \approx 1, \ \chi \approx 1)$ Nonlinear Compton scattering: C. Bula, et al. PRL **76**, 3116 (1996) Trident pair production: D. L. Burke, et al. PRL **79**, 1626 (1997)

Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

From a single vertex to a QED cascade



Many recent papers on QED cascades:

Grismayer, Vranic, Martins, Fonseca, and Silva, arXiv:1511.07503 (2015) Tamburini, Di Piazza, and Keitel, arXiv:1511.03987 (2015) Gelfer, Mironov, Fedotov, Bashmakov, Nerush, Kostyukov, and Narozhny, PRA (2015) Gonoskov, Bastrakov, Efimenko, Ilderton, Marklund, Meyerov, et al., PRE (2015) Green and Harvey, CPC (2015) Lobet, Ruyer, Debayle, d'Humières, Grech, Lemoine, and Gremillet, PRL (2015) Vranic, Grismayer, Martins, Fonseca, and Silva, CPC (2015) Bashmakov, Nerush, Kostyukov, Fedotov, and Narozhny, POP (2014) Mironov, Narozhny, and Fedotov, PLA (2014) Narozhny and Fedotov, EPJST (2014) Ridgers, Kirk, Duclous, Blackburn, Brady, Bennett, Arber, and Bell, JCP (2014) Tang, Bake, Wang, and Xie, PRA (2014)

Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)



Furry-picture approach to strong-field QED:

- ullet Strong background fields ($\xi\gtrsim 1)$ are included exactly (dressed states)
- The radiation field (non-occupied modes) is treated perturbatively \rightarrow QED becomes a nonperturbative theory (like QCD?) for $\alpha \chi^{2/3} \gtrsim 1$

Full breakdown of perturbation theory

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\bigcap}_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha\chi^{2/3})}}_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha\chi^{2/3})} + \underbrace{\underbrace{\bigcap}_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{2}\chi^{4/3})}}_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{2}\chi^{4/3})} + \cdots$$

Mass operator: perturbation theory with respect to the radiation field

Different regimes for strong background fields ($\xi \gg 1$):

• $\chi \ll 1$: classical regime

Quantum effects are very small, pair production is exponentially suppressed

2 $\chi \gtrsim 1, \alpha \chi^{2/3} \ll 1$: quantum regime

Recoil and pair production are important, but the radiation field is a perturbation

3 $\alpha \chi^{2/3} \gtrsim 1$: fully nonperturbative regime

Perturbative treatment of the radiation field breaks down

V. I. Ritus, J. Sov. Laser Res. 6, 497-617 (1985)

Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)



Furry-picture approach to strong-field QED:

- ullet Strong background fields ($\xi\gtrsim 1)$ are included exactly (dressed states)
- The radiation field (non-occupied modes) is treated perturbatively \rightarrow QED becomes a nonperturbative theory (like QCD?) for $\alpha \chi^{2/3} \gtrsim 1$



Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

V.

• Multiphoton vs. tunneling regime

- Keldysh parameter

• Semiclassical description

- Difference between classical and quantum absorption
- Initial conditions for the classical propagation
- Importance of interference effects

More details can be found in:

SM, C. H. Keitel, and A. Di Piazza, arXiv:1503.03271 (2015)
SM, K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, C. H. Keitel, and A. Di Piazza, PRL 114, 143201 (2015)
SM, K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, C. H. Keitel, and A. Di Piazza, PRD 91, 013009 (2015)

Mulitphoton vs. tunneling pair production





- Pair production is similar to ionization in atomic physics
- The Keldysh parameter distinguishes the two regimes: AP: $\gamma = \omega \sqrt{2mI_p}/(|e|E)$, SFQED: $1/\xi = \omega mc/(|e|E)$ $(I_p = 2mc^2)$
 - [ω , E: laser angular frequency/field strength, I_{ρ} : atomic ionization potential]

Mulitphoton vs. tunneling pair production





Pair production is similar to ionization in atomic physics

The Breit-Wheeler process was only studied in the multi-photon regime. BELLA-i could study Breit-Wheeler in the tunneling regime!

Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

. . . .

Nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process



Leading-order Feynman diagram



- Photon: four-momentum q^{μ} $(q^2 = 0)$
- Electron: four-momentum p_1^{μ} $(p^2 = m^2)$
- Positron: four-momentum p_2^{μ} $(p'^2 = m^2)$

(we do not introduce dressed momenta!)

Semiclassical approximation

- We assume a strong plane-wave laser pulse $[F^{\mu
 u} = F^{\mu
 u}(\phi), \ \xi \gg 1]$
- The S-matrix is solvable analytically (to leading order)
- Stationary-phase analysis: main contribution to the process at $\phi = \phi_k$
- ullet We propagate the final momenta back in time $p_{1,2}^\mu \longrightarrow p_{1,2}^\mu(\phi)$

$$p_1^\mu(\phi)+p_2^\mu(\phi)=q^\mu+n(\phi)k^\mu$$

- At the stationary phases $\phi_k n(\phi) > 0$ is minimal
- Process happens where the pair becomes real as easy as possible!

SM, C. H. Keitel, and A. Di Piazza, arXiv:1503.03271 (2015)

Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

Classical vs. quantum absorption

Global conservation law

$$\textit{p}_1^\mu + \textit{p}_2^\mu = \textit{q}^\mu + \textit{nk}^\mu$$

Classical absorption

$$n_{cl}k^{\mu} = p_1^{\mu} + p_2^{\mu} - [p_1^{\mu}(\phi_k) + p_2^{\mu}(\phi_k)]$$

Propagation from the stationary point

Local conservation law

$$p_1^{\mu}(\phi) + p_2^{\mu}(\phi) = q^{\mu} + n(\phi)k^{\mu}$$

Quantum absorption

$$n_{\mathsf{q}}k^{\mu}=p_{1}^{\mu}(\phi_{k})+p_{2}^{\mu}(\phi_{k})-q^{\mu}$$

Absorption during the creation

Pair production at φ: n(φ)k^μ must be absorbed "non-classically"
 → n(φ)k^μ is a measure for the effective tunneling distance

• Stationary-phase condition obeyed at $\phi = \phi_k$:

 \longrightarrow n(ϕ_k): minimum laser four-momentum needed to be on shell

Implications for the QED-PIC community

- \bullet We obtain the scaling laws: $\mathit{n_{q}}\sim\xi/\chi$ and $\mathit{n_{cl}}\sim\xi^{3}/\chi,$ respectively
- The energy transver from the laser to the particles is dominated by classical physics (taken into account self-consistently in a PIC code)
- The quantum absorption is not taken into account in a PIC code \longrightarrow We have a definite error estimate now!



Characteristic four-vectors of the problem

• The Breit-Wheeler process is characterized by the quantitites:



• They allow us to construct a canonical light-cone basis:

$$k^{\mu}, \quad ar{k}^{\mu}=q^{\mu}/kq, \quad e_{1}^{\mu}=\Lambda_{1}^{\mu}, \quad e_{2}^{\mu}=\Lambda_{2}^{\mu}, \quad (q^{2}=0, \, kq \neq 0, \, \Lambda_{i}^{2}=-1)$$

Invariant momentum parameters

• We define the Lorentz-invariant momentum parameters R, t_1 and t_2 :

$$p_1^{\mu} = (1/2 + R)q^{\mu} + s'k^{\mu} + t_1 m \Lambda_1^{\mu} + t_2 m \Lambda_2^{\mu}, \qquad p_1^2 = m^2, \\ p_2^{\mu} = (1/2 - R)q^{\mu} - sk^{\mu} - t_1 m \Lambda_1^{\mu} - t_2 m \Lambda_2^{\mu}, \qquad p_2^2 = m^2$$

• From the on-shell conditions we obtain the relations (n = s' - s):

$$s = rac{1}{(2R-1)}rac{m^2}{kq}(1+t_1^2+t_2^2), \quad s' = rac{1}{(2R+1)}rac{m^2}{kq}(1+t_1^2+t_2^2)$$

Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

Initial conditions for the classical propagation



Momentum distribution of the created pairs



- Both *R* and *t*₂ are constants of motion for a plane-wave/constant-crossed field
- The corresponding distributions are not changed by the classical propagation

Parameters: $\chi = 1$, $\xi = 10$, N = 5, $\phi_0 = \pi/2$, Pulse: $\psi'_1(\phi) = \sin^2[\phi/(2N)]\sin(\phi+\phi_0)$, $\psi'_2(\phi) = 0$

- To include quantum processes into a PIC code, the initial conditions for the classical propagation of the created particles must be known
 Approach so far:
 - Ignore the transverse degree of freedom
 - All particles move initially into the forward direction
- Aim: full 3D simulation
 - We need to provide initial values for R, t_1 and t_2
 - Constant-crossed field rate: distribution for R and t_2
- Question: which initial value for t_1 ? Our answer: $t_1 = 0$
 - \longrightarrow 3D simulations possible for the first time!

Initial conditions for the classical propagation



Momentum distribution of the created pairs



- Both *R* and *t*₂ are constants of motion for a plane-wave/constant-crossed field
- The corresponding distributions are not changed by the classical propagation

Parameters: $\chi = 1$, $\xi = 10$, N = 5, $\phi_0 = \pi/2$, Pulse: $\psi'_1(\phi) = \sin^2[\phi/(2N)]\sin(\phi+\phi_0)$, $\psi'_2(\phi) = 0$

- To include quantum processes into a PIC code, the initial conditions for the classical propagation of the created particles must be known
 Approach so far:
 - Ignore the transverse degree of freedom
 - All particles move initially into the forward direction
- Aim: full 3D simulation
 - We need to provide initial values for R, t_1 and t_2
 - Constant-crossed field rate: distribution for R and t_2
- Question: which initial value for t_1 ? Our answer: $t_1 = 0$
 - \longrightarrow 3D simulations possible for the first time!

Initial conditions for the classical propagation



Momentum distribution of the created pairs



- Both *R* and *t*₂ are constants of motion for a plane-wave/constant-crossed field
- The corresponding distributions are not changed by the classical propagation

Parameters: $\chi = 1$, $\xi = 10$, N = 5, $\phi_0 = \pi/2$, Pulse: $\psi'_1(\phi) = \sin^2[\phi/(2N)]\sin(\phi+\phi_0)$, $\psi'_2(\phi) = 0$

- To include quantum processes into a PIC code, the initial conditions for the classical propagation of the created particles must be known
 Approach so far:
 - Ignore the transverse degree of freedom
 - All particles move initially into the forward direction
- Aim: full 3D simulation
 - We need to provide initial values for R, t_1 and t_2

BELLA-i could investigate the validity of the SF-QED framework and the semiclassical approximation \longrightarrow crucial for PIC codes!

Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

Pair production: Importance of interference effects



 $\chi = 1, \xi = 5, N = 5$ cycle, e.g., $\omega_{\gamma} = 17 \,\text{GeV}$ and $10^{20} \,\text{W/cm}^2$

- Stationary-phase approximation possible for $\xi \gg 1$
- Location of the stationary points: classical equation of motion ٠
- Probability amplitude: pair-creation inside a constant-crossed field
- However: interference between different formation regions important
- SM, C. H. Keitel, and A. Di Piazza, arXiv:1503.03271 (2015)

Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

2

 t_2

 $PW_{11}/dt_1dt_2[\%]$

2.8

Pair production: Importance of interference effects





Sebastian Meuren (MPI-K Heidelberg)

16 / 17

Nonlinear quantum electrodynamics

Pair production: Importance of interference effects



t



Summary: main topics of the talk

• Why should we study Strong-Field QED?

- Intuitive explanation of the QED critical field
- Phenomena related to the nonlinear regime of QED

• Lasers as a tool to study the critical field

- Nonlinear Compton scattering
- Nonlinear Breit-Wheeler pair production
- From a single vertex to a QED cascade
- Fully nonperturbative regime of QED

• Nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process

- Multiphoton vs. tunneling pair production
- Semiclassical description
- Difference between classical and quantum absorption
- Initial conditions for the classical propagation
- Importance of interference effects

Thank you for your attention and your questions!

Laser-pulse-shape control of seeded QED cascades

BELLA-i workshop

21 January 2016

Matteo Tamburini

Antonino Di Piazza Christoph H. Keitel



MPI for Nuclear Physics, Heidelberg

Introduction 1/3



The basic QED processes in a strong electromagnetic field

Photon emission by an e^-/e^+

Photon conversion into an e^-e^+ pair



Strong-field QED processes are controlled by the quantum parameter χ

 $\chi_{e/\gamma} = |F_{\mu\nu} p_{e/\gamma}^{\nu}| / E_{\rm cr} mc$

For $\chi_e \ll 1$ the typical energy of the emitted photons is $\varepsilon_{\gamma} \approx \chi_e \varepsilon_e$, ε_e being the electron energy. Single photon emission recoil dominates when $\chi_e \gtrsim 1$. $eE_{\rm cr}\lambda_{\rm C} = mc^2$; $E_{\rm cr} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{16} \, {\rm V/cm}$ For $\chi_{\gamma} \ll 1$ the probability of photon conversion into an e^-e^+ pair is suppressed as $e^{-8/3\chi_{\gamma}}$. Photon conversion is important when $\chi_{\gamma} \gtrsim 1$.

Introduction 2/3



Seeded QED cascades



1) Seed e^- are violently accelerated by the laser fields and emit large amounts of γ which, in turn, convert into e^-e^+ pairs.

2) The generated e^-e^+ pairs are then accelerated by the laser fields and originate a new generation of particles.

3) QED cascades were predicted to develop in the collision of two laser pulses each with an intensity around 10²⁴ W/cm² (Bell *et al.* PRL 2008, Kirk *et al.* PPCF 2009, Nerush *et al.* PRL 2011).

Introduction 3/3



Why are laser-driven QED cascades interesting?

- QED cascades open up the investigation of a novel regime dominated by the interplay between strong-field QED and multiparticle processes.
- QED cascades play a fundamental role in astrophysical environments such as the magnetosphere of pulsars, rendering an earth based implementation with intense lasers attractive.
- QED cascades were predicted to limit the attainable intensity of extreme laser sources due to the depletion of the laser pulse energy (Fedotov *et al.* PRL 2010, Bulanov *et al.* PRL 2010, Nerush *et al.* PRL 2011).

Our findings

- To date, the research has been focused on the intensity required to trigger QED cascades. The implications of the strong field gradients associated with tightly focused laser pulses have been neglected.
- We have shown (Tamburini *et al.* arXiv:1511.03987) the essential role played by the laser field shape on the onset of seeded QED cascades when accounting for realistic laser pulse structures. Tight focusing may prevent the formation of QED cascades even at intensities around 10²⁶ W/cm², while moderate focusing allows to trigger QED cascades at intensities below 10²⁴ W/cm².

The experimental setup





Parameters

- Two ultraintense linearly polarized laser pulses collide head-on in a tenuous gas (such as the residual gas of a vacuum chamber).
- Their transverse spatial profile is Gaussian, with $\lambda = 0.8 \,\mu\text{m}$ wavelength, $T = \lambda/c \approx 2.67$ fs period, and hyperbolic secant temporal field envelope with 20 fs duration FWHM of the intensity.
- A fully 3D description of the laser pulse fields with terms up to the fifth order in the diffraction angle $\epsilon = \lambda/\pi w_0$, w_0 being the waist radius, is employed.
- Initially, 10³ seed electrons are located at rest within a λ^3 volume at the laser pulse focus with uniform random distribution (electron density $n = 2 \times 10^{15} \text{cm}^{-3}$, while the critical density is $n_c = m\omega^2/4\pi e \approx 1.1 \times 10^{21} \lambda_{\mu m}^{-2} \text{cm}^{-3}$
- Electrons originate from the ionization of different atomic species (e.g. H, O), and go into the continuum at different values of the laser field at the focus.

Tight focusing: 1λ waist radius, 10^{26} W/cm² intensity



Matteo Tamburini (MPIK Heidelberg)

Moderate focusing: 5 λ waist radius, 10^{24} W/cm² intensity



The photon energy ε_{γ} and the χ_e parameter (inset) at each photon emission event. Initially, the peaks of the laser pulses are located at $z_0 = \pm 49.2 \lambda$ (H). The colors correspond to the number of events (black means ≥ 10 events).

The number of particles



The evolution of the number of electrons N_{e^-} , positrons N_{e^+} and photons N_{γ} with energy $\varepsilon_{\gamma} > 25$ MeV. The inset displays the results with the same parameters but $w_0 = 4 \lambda$ waist radius.

Although the laser pulse intensity decreases from 10^{26} W/cm² to 10^{24} W/cm² here χ_e exceeds unity and copious emission of photons with several hundreds MeV energy occurs.

Seeded QED cascade formation regimes



 e^-e^+ pair creation regimes as function of the waist radius w_0 and either the intensity *I* or the power *P* per laser pulse

/ (W/cm ²)	$w_0(\lambda)$	Regime
	$(\lesssim 2$	No e^-e^+ pairs
1×10^{24}) 3	Transition region: no pairs/ e^-e^+ gas
1 × 10) 4	e^-e^+ gas
	$l_{\gtrsim 5}$	e^-e^+ cascade
	(≲2	No e^-e^+ pairs
$1 imes 10^{25-26}$	{ 3	Transition region: no pairs/ e^-e^+ cascade
	L ≥ 4	e^-e^+ cascade
<i>P</i> (PW)	$w_0(\lambda)$	Regime
	(≲3	No e^-e^+ pairs
200) 4	Transition region: e^-e^+ cascade $/e^-e^+$ gas
200	5 - 8	e^-e^+ gas
	$L\gtrsim9$	e^-e^+ yield $< 1\%$ of the initial e^-
	(≲2	No e^-e^+ pairs
	3	Transition region: no pairs/ e^-e^+ cascade
500	4 - 9	e^-e^+ cascade (w_0pprox 4 max. growth)
	10 - 12	e^-e^+ gas
	$\zeta \gtrsim 13$	e^-e^+ yield $< 1\%$ of the initial e^-

M. Tamburini, A. Di Piazza, and C. H. Keitel, arXiv:1511.03987 (2015).

Matteo Tamburini (MPIK Heidelberg)

8 / 10

Laser-pulse-shape control of QED cascades



Our main findings on the onset of QED cascades

- Our results show that the laser field structure may dominate the onset of e^-e^+ cascades even with respect to the laser intensity, and must be considered in the design and interpretation of experiments with tightly focused laser pulses.
- We have highlighted the importance of the nature of the gas. Inner shell electrons of high-Z elements may go into the continuum only when the peak of the laser pulses reach the focus. In this case the power required to initiate a QED cascade falls to 11 PW per pulse (Tamburini *et al.* arXiv:1511.03987).
- These findings open up the possibility of controlling the onset of QED cascades via, e.g., the laser pulse waist radius or choosing suitable high-Z gases.

Thank you for your attention!