## **Reaction Studies on Unstable Nuclei** at NIF

March 01, 2022

## J. D. Despotopulos, K. E. Gregorich, D. A. Shaughnessy, K. J. Moody, N. Gharibyan, P. M. Grant, C. Cerjan and C. M. Yeamans



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

#### LLNL-PRES-XXXXXX

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC

### NIF is a unique tool to study neutron-induced nuclear reactions

#### Target material added to capsule







# **Program goal: Develop doped capsule platforms for measuring radiochemical tracer nuclear reactions for Stockpile Stewardship**



Only 2 measurements exist for this reaction

- Thermonuclear performance was determined through radiochemical detectors
- Reactions on short-lived, excited states must be included in performance models, but cannot be measured directly
- NIF-based measurement requires ~10<sup>13</sup> atoms (ng, µCi) of a radioactive target compared to ~>10<sup>18</sup> atoms (~mg, ~>1 Ci) for an accelerator target due to increased neutron flux
- Current: perform a series of three NIF shots to measure the <sup>89</sup>Y(n,2n)<sup>88</sup>Y, <sup>88</sup>Y(n,2n)<sup>87</sup>Y and determine if Y fractionates from other rare earth elements during a NIF shot

Yttrium will be done first for method validation; other detector elements also need cross-section data



#### Reference reactions are used to determine unknown cross sections

 The product of the reaction of an "instantaneous" pulse of neutrons with a detector element (n<sup>0</sup>) is given by:

 $N = n^0 \phi \sigma$ 

 If two target nuclides are co-loaded together, one with a known cross section (σ), the flux (φ) cancels:

 $\sigma_{unknown} = \sigma_{known} (n_{known}^{0}/n_{unknown}^{0}) (N_{unknown}/N_{known})$ 

- Uncertainty is related to errors in the known cross section, loaded target assay, counting statistics, and some correction factors (e.g., differing reaction thresholds)
- <sup>169</sup>Tm(n,2n) is the "known" reaction for <sup>88</sup>Y(n,2n)



Uncertainty on measured first-order (n,2n) at NIF will be ~5% depending on nuclide



### **Radiochemistry diagnostics at NIF have expanded**

#### Solid debris collection



Vast Area Detector for Experimental Radiochemistry (VADER), ~1%

#### Solid debris collection



Solid radiochemistry collectors (4), ~0.1%

Solid debris collection



Large area solid radiochemistry collector, 1-5%

**Collection of noble gas products** 



Radiochemical Analysis of Gaseous Species (RAGS)



Neutron yield, neutron imaging, bang time, x-ray imaging, ion temperature are provided as standard NIF diagnostics



#### <sup>238</sup>U capsules showed the need for alternate doping methods

- DU sputtered in the ablator shell causes outer surface deformations
- The time required to produce a full capsule is not amenable to using radioactive species





DU layer in CH capsule (GA)

Capsules with dopants on the inner surface had consistent neutron yields

	N181104-001	N190103-001	N190519-001
scale	sub	sub	sub
laser	SScAS_2DConA	SScAS_2DConA	SScAS_2DConA
laser energy	0.8 MJ	0.9 MJ	0.85 MJ
hohlraum	469 Au tamped	469 Au tamped	469 Au (tamped)
Dopant	<sup>7</sup> Be	<sup>238</sup> U	<sup>7</sup> Be
Dopant layer recess	0 µm	0 µm	0 µm
Y <sub>DT</sub>	1.5 x 10 <sup>14</sup>	3.2 x 10 <sup>14</sup>	5.3 x 10 <sup>14</sup>
Tion (keV)	2.56	2.61	2.82
DSR (%)	0.5	0.9	0.85
Fill tube	30 µm	10 µm	30 µm
Doping method	Injection	Vacuum	Injection



## **Simplified Flow Diagram for Capsule Doping**











#### Apparatus for NIF Doping: Automated Robotic Injection System for Targets (ANDARIST)



- System allows for precision alignment of microcapillary with fill-tube hole for injection
- Each material "cocktail" requires R&D to optimize the matrix and mass loadings





First doped capsules contained <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>7,10</sup>Be (<sup>7</sup>Be t<sub>1/2</sub>=53 d)



#### **Heart of ANDARIST**



ULNL-PRES-XXXXXX

## **Microinjected NIF Capsule**







# VORCAN: Vacuum Optimized Radionuclide-to-Capsule Administer for NIF

- Improved vacuum filling system for robust addition of radionuclides to NIF capsules, in volumes slightly larger than ANDARIST
- Very small XYZ manipulator in vacuum chamber, capable of 0.4 nm movements
- Allow submersion of capsule in <4 µL of liquid</li>
- Filled by pressure difference
- Simpler and quicker method for addition of dopants to capsule, when larger volumes are available





## **Comparison of Systems**

#### **ANDARIST**

- Very small solution volumes possible (< 1 μL)</li>
  - Low production radionuclides possible
- Challenging operation, ultra clean samples required.
  - Need new chemical methods for sample production for each radionuclide
- Any different solution requires different injection parameters
- Minimal touching of outside of capsule potential to keep it clean

#### VORCAN

- Small solution volumes possible (~3 µL)
  - Some low production radionuclides possible
- Easy operation
  - Solution cleanliness not as important
- All solutions are injected the same way, by pressure difference
- More touching to the outside of capsule, potential for damage if not careful
  - Not a big concern for our program



# Proposed capsule for the first <sup>89</sup>Y shot has a complex mixture of tracers



Nuclides deposited on inner surface: <sup>89</sup>Y (stable, ~10<sup>14</sup> atoms) – "unknown" <sup>169</sup>Tm (stable, ~10<sup>14</sup> atoms) – "known" <sup>91</sup>Y (t<sub>1/2</sub>=59 d, ~ $\mu$ Ci) – Y collection tracer <sup>152</sup>Eu (t<sub>1/2</sub>=13 y, ~ $\mu$ Ci) – Tm collection tracer Gold hohlraum (no DU present)



Final target assembly – hohlraum wrapped with extra gold to enhance debris collection



Production of <sup>91</sup>Y, target doping and shot date have been coordinated to account for the half-life

This and two subsequent capsules failed cryogenic pressure tests at NIF, radiation damage to plastic shell



## **Previous Capsules**

#### **CH Capsules**

 Had arrived at GA (previous couple shots) with clear fill holes on the vast majority of capsules

 These capsules are transparent, our optical microscopes were rather good at seeing salt in the fill holes/addressing this issue before sending

#### **HDC Capsules**

 Optically non-transparent, so ability with current set up for CH to see through fill hole was limited.

 Resulted in capsules that were plugged to a degree much more severe than CH capsules

 New, QA/QC procedure being developed to address this





Figure: HDC (top), CH (bottom)



#### Next steps for radiochemical measurements at NIF

- Current shot request (requesting this March for FY23):
  - <sup>89</sup>Y capsule with improved doping method for HDC (<sup>89</sup>Y(n,2n)<sup>88</sup>Y)
  - <sup>88</sup>Y capsule (<sup>88</sup>Y(n,2n)<sup>87</sup>Y)
  - Fractionation capsule with mixed radioactive rare earth elements to ensure rare earths are collected with the same yields
- Future: apply methods to other unknowns such as <sup>168</sup>Tm(n,2n)
- Future: evaluate possible (n,γ) reactions on radioactive species (DD shots)





#### Conclusions

- Doping of NIF capsules is a long process that requires coordination between radiochemists, GA and target fab to work on complex timelines
- The production and use of the radionuclide cocktails for doping requires new chemical methods developed for every single dopant used
- A microinjection doping method, ANDARIST, has been developed and tested for use with rare radionuclide cocktails on small volume scales
- An improved vacuum based doping system, VORCAN, is being brough online for robust addition of radionuclides to NIF capsules, at a slightly larger volume scale than ANDARIST (which will make doping of most things more straight forward)
- HDC impose a unique challenge and aid in the radiation resistance of the targets



## Acknowledgments

This study was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.