



IFTSCAPE

Ulrich Heinz (OSU)



1/42

X.-N. Wang's 60th, 8/19/2022

Attractors

Prologue •000000000000	KT vs. hydro 00000	Kinetic evolution	Hydrodynamic evolution	Conclusions

Overview

1 Prologue

- 2 Kinetic theory vs. hydrodynamics
- 3 Exact evolution in kinetic theory

4 Evolution in hydrodynamic approximation(s)

- Second-order Chapman Enskog hydrodynamics (CE hydro)
- Anisotropic hydrodynamics (aHydro)

5 Lessons learned

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

Prologue I

\sim 15-20 years ago:

Discovery of the "unreasonable effectiveness of hydrodynamics" in describing ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collision dynamics

(hundreds of papers, too many to list here...)

A D N A B N A B N A B N

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

Space-time diagram of a heavy-ion collision



(After M. Strickland, arXiv:1410.5786)

Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

Attractors

X.-N. Wang's 60th, 8/19/2022

4/42

- 3

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

Causal dissipative relativistic fluid dynamics

Israel & Stewart '79; Muronga '02; Denicol, Niemi, Molnár, Rischke '12; Pang, Hatta, Wang, Xiao '15; & many others ... Macroscopic evolution of densities and fluid velocity as functions of space and time:

- $T^{\mu\nu} = e \, u^{\mu} u^{\nu} (p + \Pi) \, \Delta^{\mu\nu} + \pi^{\mu\nu} \text{ where } \Delta^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} u^{\mu} u^{\nu} = -(x^{\mu} x^{\nu} + y^{\mu} y^{\nu} + z^{\mu} z^{\nu})$ $j^{\mu} = n \, u^{\mu} + V^{\mu}$
 - $p = p(e, n) \quad (EoS) \tag{1}$
 - Conservation laws $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0 = \partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} \implies$ evolution of e, n, u^{μ}

Relaxation equations for the dissipative flows $\pi^{\mu\nu}$, Π , V^{μ} , e.g.

$$D\pi^{\langle\mu
u
angle} = -rac{1}{ au_{\pi}}\left(\pi^{\mu
u} - 2\eta
abla^{\langle\mu}u^{
u
angle}
ight) + ext{second order terms};$$

describe competition between collisions (\rightarrow towards equilibrium) and expansion (\rightarrow away from equilibrium)

• Large anisotropies in the expansion rate θ ($\theta_L \gg \theta_{\perp}$) keep the pressure anisotropy

$$P_L - P_{\perp} = \left(p + \pi^{zz}\right) - \left(p - \frac{1}{2}\pi^{zz}\right) = \frac{3}{2}\pi^{zz} < 0$$

large throughout the evolution history:

		L aimm	(OCII)
U.I.I	тсп	Heinz	10501
			(000)

Prologue	KT vs. hydro	Kinetic evolution	Hydrodynamic evolution	Conclusion
0000000000000	00000	0000000	0000000	0000000

Large shear stress throughout the QGP phase! Song & UH '07



VISH2+1 (from H. Song's PhD thesis (arXiv:0908.3656))

- \implies "hydrodynamization" \neq "equilibration"
- \implies hydrodynamics becomes valid well before local momentum isotropy and thermal equilibrium are reached
 - ⇒ "far-from-equilibrium hydrodynamics" (Romatschke 2018)

Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

Attractors

X.-N. Wang's 60th, 8/19/2022 6/42

Prologue	KT vs. hydro	Kinetic evolution	Hydrodynamic evolution	Conclusions
000000000000	00000	0000000	000000	00000000

Anisotropic hydrodynamics

Martinez & Strickland '10; Florkowski & Ryblewski '11; Bazow et al. '14; Molnár et al. '16; McNelis et al. '18; and many others

$$T^{\mu\nu} = e u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + P_L z^{\mu} z^{\nu} - P_{\perp} \Xi^{\mu\nu} + \pi_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} + 2W_{\perp z}^{(\mu} z^{\nu)}$$

$$j^{\mu} = n u^{\mu} + V_{z}^{\mu} + V_{\perp}^{\mu}$$

$$p = p(e, n) \quad (EoS)$$
(2)

where

$$\begin{split} &\Xi^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + z^{\mu}z^{\nu} = -(x^{\mu}x^{\nu} + y^{\mu}y^{\nu})\\ &\Pi = \frac{1}{3}(P_{L} + 2P_{\perp}) - p(e, n)\\ &\pi^{\mu\nu} = \pi^{\mu\nu}_{\perp} + 2W^{(\mu}_{\perp z}z^{\nu)} + \frac{1}{3}(P_{L} - P_{\perp})(z^{\mu}z^{\nu} - \Delta^{\mu\nu}) \end{split}$$

• Conservation laws $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0 = \partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} \implies$ evolution of e, n, u^{μ}

Relaxation equations for P_L , P_{\perp} , $\pi_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}$, $W_{\perp z}^{\mu}$, V^{μ} (Molnár et al. '16, McNelis et al. '18)

Public (3+1)-d code VAH available at https://github.com/mjmcnelis/cpu_vah.

Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

Prologue	
00000000000000000	

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

Prologue II

~ 10 years ago:

Discovery of hydrodynamic attractors

Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

Attractors

X.-N. Wang's 60th, 8/19/2022 8/42

3

Prologue ○○○○○○●○○○○○○	KT vs. hydro 00000	Kinetic evolution	Hydrodynamic evolution	Conclusions

A strong attractor:

PHYSICAL REVIEW D

VOLUME 44, NUMBER 11

1 DECEMBER 1991

HIJING: A Monte Carlo model for multiple jet production in pp, pA, and AA collisions

Xin-Nian Wang* and Miklos Gyulassy

Nuclear Science Division, Mailstop 70A-3307, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720 (Received 29 July 1991)

Combining perturbative-QCD inspired models for multiple jet production with low p_T multistring phenomenology, we develop a Monte Carlo event generator HIJING to study jet and multiparticle production in high energy pp, pA, and AA collisions. The model includes multiple minijet production, nuclear shadowing of parton distribution functions, and a schematic mechanism of jet interactions in dense matter. Glauber geometry for multiple collisions is used to calculate pA and AC collisions. The phenomenological parameters are adjusted to reproduce essential features of pp multiparticle production data for a wide energy range ($\sqrt{s} = 5-2000$ GeV). Illustrative tests of the model on p + A and light-ion B + A data at $\sqrt{s} = 20$ GeV/nucleon and predictions for Au+Au at energies of the BNL Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider ($\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV/nucleon) are given.

> 3,000 citations!

Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

Attractors

X.-N. Wang's 60th, 8/19/2022 9/42

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

10/42

Hydrodynamic attractors

- Hydrodynamics is the effective theory of long-wavelength excitations which can be expressed as a hydrodynamic gradient series (Baier et al. (BRSSS) '08).
- While this gradient series was shown to be asymptotic (i.e. it diverges (Heller et al. '13 -'16, and others)), it can be Borel resummed, yielding an **attractor** (Heller et al. '13) to which the system converges on a microscopic relaxation time scale τ_R . Non-hydrodynamic moments of the underlying phase-space distribution decay on the same time scale τ_R (Strickland '18). The precise form of this decay depends on the microscopic collision dynamics (Romatschke '17).
- In the limit of small gradients, the attractor reduces to the low-order hydrodynamic gradient series solution. Navier-Stokes theory defines the unique attractor at first order in gradients.
- The existence and properties of the hydrodynamic attractor have been studied in greatest detail for conformal systems undergoing Bjorken flow (Heller et al. '13 -'18; Basar & Dunne '15; Romatschke '17; Denicol & Noronha '16; Strickland '18; ...). Some pictures:

Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

Hydrodynamic attractors for Bjorken flow



Romatschke, PRL 120 (2017) 012301

A D N A B N A B N A B N

Prologue	KT vs. hydro	Kinetic evolution	Hydrodynamic evolution	Conclusions
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000	0000000	000000	00000000

The anisotropic hydrodynamic attractor for Bjorken flow



- Numerical solutions join attractor (i.e. lose memory of ICs) after τ ≥ (1−2)τ_R. At this point P_L/P_T ≤ 0.5, i.e. shear stress effects are O(1).
- aHydro reproduces unterlying RTA Boltzmann transport almost perfectly, even for very large shear stress.
- Hydrodynamic attractors merge with Navier-Stokes after $\tau > \text{few} \times \tau_R$ (not MIS).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

KT vs. hydro 00000 Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

Approach to attractor – I. Weak coupling

Jaiswal et al., PRC 100 (2019) 034901; Kurkela et al., PRL 124 (2020) 102301

- Consider an excursion δ about the attractor solution $\bar{\pi}(w) = \bar{\pi}_{attr}(w) + \delta(w)$.
- At late times $\tau \gg \tau_R$ (small Knudsen numbers Kn \ll 1) the excursion decays exponentially $\delta \propto \exp(-3\tau/2\tau_R)$
- At early times $\tau \ll \tau_R$ (large Knudsen numbers Kn \gg 1) the excursion decays via a power law $\delta \propto (\tau/\tau_R)^{-2m}$ where *m* depends on transport coefficients.
- Late-time decay driven by interactions, decay period ∝ τ_R. Early-time decay driven by rapid medium expansion, decay period independent of τ_R:



KT vs. hydro 00000 Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions 00000000

Approach to attractor – II. Strong coupling

Kurkela, van der Schee, Wiedemann, Wu, PRL 124 (2020) 102301

No early-time attractor behavior in strongly coupled systems:



Early-time dominated by oscillatory solutions: decay of quasinormal modes.

Information of initial conditions lost only at $\tau T \gtrsim 1$.

1 Hallada	Halama -	$(\cap C \cup)$
Unich	nemz	(030)

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

Prologue brief summary:

- Attractor phenomenon has been extensively studied for conformal (massless) systems undergoing effectively 1-d expansion (Bjorken, Guber), in both RTA Boltzmann and hydrodynamics
- Attractors ubiquitous: (i) hydro flows; (ii) nonhydrodynamic kinetic moments; (iii) the phase-space distribution itself! (Strickland '18)
- But: different attractors for different hydrodynamic approximations of the same underlying kinetic theory; few studies for non-conformal systems or 3-d expansion, with inconclusive results, leaving many open questions
- ⇒ Here: focus on non-conformal effects in Bjorken flow, using a weakly coupled approach (RTA Boltzmann & various hydrodynamic approximations) that provides full control of all technical approximations.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Prologue	KT vs. hydro ●0000	Kinetic evolution	Hydrodynamic evolution	Conclusions 00000000

Overview

1 Prologue

2 Kinetic theory vs. hydrodynamics

3 Exact evolution in kinetic theory

4 Evolution in hydrodynamic approximation(s)

- Second-order Chapman Enskog hydrodynamics (CE hydro)
- Anisotropic hydrodynamics (aHydro)

5 Lessons learned

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

Prologue	KT vs. hydro	Kinetic evolution	Hydrodynamic evolution	Conclusions
0000000000000	0000	000000	0000000	00000000

Kinetic theory I

Relativistic Boltzmann eqn. in Relaxation Time Approximation (RTA BE):

Andersen & Witting '74

(gas of massive particles, Boltzmann statistics, no conserved charge ($\mu=0$))

 $p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f(x,p) = -\frac{p \cdot u(x)}{\tau_{R}(x)} \Big(f(x,p) - f_{eq}(x,p)\Big) \quad \text{with} \quad f_{eq}(x,p) = \exp\left(-\frac{p \cdot u(x)}{T(x)}\right)$

Nonlinear: T(x), $u^{\mu}(x)$ fixed by Landau matching:

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu
u}[f]u_{\mu}\equiv \langle p^{\mu}p^{
u}
angle_{_{f}}u_{\mu}=e_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathcal{T})u^{\mu}$$

Bjorken flow (longitudinal boost-invariance + transverse homogeneity):

ODE:
$$\frac{df}{d\tau} = -\frac{f - f_{eq}}{\tau_R(\tau)}$$
 with $f_{eq} = e^{-\sqrt{p_T^2 + (p_\eta/\tau)^2 + m^2}/T}$

Prologue 0000000000000	KT vs. hydro oo●oo	Kinetic evolution	Hydrodynamic evolution	Conclusions 00000000

Kinetic theory II

Analytic solution: Baym '84, Florkowski, Strickland et al. '13, '14

$$f(\tau; \boldsymbol{p}_{T}, \boldsymbol{p}_{\eta}) = D(\tau, \tau_{0}) f_{\mathrm{in}}(\tau_{0}; \boldsymbol{p}_{T}, \boldsymbol{p}_{\eta}) + \int_{\tau_{0}}^{\tau} \frac{d\tau'}{\tau_{R}(\tau')} D(\tau, \tau') f_{\mathrm{eq}}(\tau'; \boldsymbol{p}_{T}, \boldsymbol{p}_{\eta})$$

with

$$D(\tau_2,\tau_1) = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \frac{d\tau}{\tau_R(\tau)}$$

Here Romatschke & Strickland '03

$$f_{\rm in}(\tau_0; p_T, p_\eta) = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{p_T^2 + (1 + \xi_0)(p_\eta/\tau_0)^2 + m^2}}{\Lambda_0}\right)$$

with $\tau_0 = 0.1 \,\mathrm{fm}/c, \ m = 200 \,\mathrm{MeV}, \ T_0(\Lambda_0, \alpha_0, \xi_0, m) = 500 \,\mathrm{MeV},$ and various α_0, ξ_0 to control the initial bulk and shear stresses $\Pi_0, \pi_0^{\eta\eta}$.

18/42

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions 00000000

19/42

Kinetic constraints on hydrodynamic moments I

Chattopadhyay et al., PLB 824 (2022) 136820

For Bjorken flow $T^{\mu\nu}(\tau) = \operatorname{diag}(e, P_T, P_T, P_L)$, with

$$e \equiv e_{\mathrm{eq}} = \left\langle (p \cdot u)^2 \right\rangle_{\mathrm{eq}}, \quad P \equiv P_{\mathrm{eq}} = -\frac{1}{3} \left\langle m^2 - (p \cdot u)^2 \right\rangle_{\mathrm{eq}} = \frac{e}{3} - \frac{m^2}{3} \langle 1 \rangle_{\mathrm{eq}},$$

 $P_L = P + \Pi - \pi, \quad P_T = P + \Pi + \pi/2, \quad \Pi = \frac{m^2}{3} \langle 1 \rangle_{\delta f}, \quad -\pi \equiv \tau^2 \pi^{\eta \eta} = \tau^2 \langle (p^\eta)^2 \rangle_{\delta f},$

where $\delta f \equiv f - f_{eq}$. Positivity of f implies the following kinetic theory constraints:

$$P_T = rac{1}{2} \left\langle p_T^2 \right\rangle \ge 0, \ \ P_L = \left\langle p_\eta^2 / \tau^2 \right\rangle \ge 0,$$

 $P+\Pi = rac{1}{3} ig\langle p_T^2 + p_\eta^2 / au^2 ig
angle \geq 0, \ \ T_\mu^\mu = e - 3(P+\Pi) = m^2 \langle 1
angle \geq 0.$

This implies for the normalized bulk and shear stresses $\overline{\Pi} = \Pi/P$, $\overline{\pi} = \pi/P$

$$ar{\Pi}+rac{1}{2}ar{\pi}\geq -1, \quad ar{\Pi}-ar{\pi}\geq -1, \quad ar{\Pi}\geq -1, \quad ar{\Pi}\leq rac{e}{3P}-1 ext{ (depends on m)}$$

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

20/42

Kinetic constraints on hydrodynamic moments II



Prologue	KT vs. hydro 00000	Kinetic evolution	Hydrodynamic evolution	Conclusions 00000000

Overview

1 Prologue

2 Kinetic theory vs. hydrodynamics

3 Exact evolution in kinetic theory

4 Evolution in hydrodynamic approximation(s)

Second-order Chapman Enskog hydrodynamics (CE hydro)

Anisotropic hydrodynamics (aHydro)

5 Lessons learned

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Free-streaming dynamics Chattopadhyay et al., PLB 824 (2022) 136820

• We first solve the RTA Boltzmann equation without interactions, $\tau_R \rightarrow \infty$:



Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

Attractors

X.-N. Wang's 60th, 8/19/2022 22/42

Prologue	KT vs. hydro	Kinetic evolution
000000000000	00000	000000

Hydrodynamic evolution

Turning on collisions Jaiswal et al., PRC 105 (2022) 024911

 $\tau_R \to \infty$:

 $T\tau_R = 5C, \ C = 10/4\pi$:



Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

Attractors

- 4 ⊒ → X.-N. Wang's 60th, 8/19/2022

- ∢ ⊒ →

< 47 ▶

23/42

э

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

Turning on collisions Jaiswal et al., PRC 105 (2022) 024911







Strong bulk-shear coupling effects lead to strong sensitivity of early-time ($\tau < \tau_R$) trajectories to initial conditions

At late times $(\tau \gtrsim (2-3)\tau_R)$, when π, Π are small, convergence to NS attractor.

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

Evolution of the distribution function Jaiswal et al., PRC 105 (2022) 024911



Prologue	KT vs. hydro 00000	Kinetic evolution 000000●	Hydrodynamic evolution	Conclusions
Key insights				

- Rapid longitudinal expansion of Bjorken flow rapidly shrinks the width of the p_z -distribution at early times.
- This results in P_L/P quickly decreasing at early times, approaching, with power-law decay, a universal far-off-equilibrium attractor at $\tau \lesssim \tau_R$.
- This is a feature of the expansion profile, and it is independent of the particles being massless (conformal) or massive (non-conformal).
- The dissipative hydrodynamic moments π
 , Π
 do not individually exhibit universality; shear-bulk coupling causes strong initial-state sensitivity of the early-time, far-off-equilibrium dynamics.
- In conformal systems, P_L and π are interchangeable, and the attractor in P_L/P maps onto the well-studied attractor for the normalized shear stress $\bar{\pi}$: $(P_L/P)_{conf} = 1 4\bar{\pi}$.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Prologue 0000000000000	KT vs. hydro 00000	Kinetic evolution	Hydrodynamic evolution •••••••	Conclusions

Overview

1 Prologue

2 Kinetic theory vs. hydrodynamics

3 Exact evolution in kinetic theory

4 Evolution in hydrodynamic approximation(s)

Second-order Chapman Enskog hydrodynamics (CE hydro)

Anisotropic hydrodynamics (aHydro)

5 Lessons learned

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

 Prologue
 KT vs. hydro
 Kinetic evolution
 Hydrodynamic evolution
 Conclus

 000000000000
 00000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 000000
 0000000
 000000
 000000

Non-conformal Bjorken hydrodynamics S. Jaiswal et al., PRC 105 (2022) 024911

Second-order CE hydro: A. Jaiswal et. al., PRC 90 (2014) 044908

$$\frac{de}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left(e + P + \Pi - \pi \right), \quad \frac{d\Pi}{d\tau} + \frac{\Pi}{\tau_R} = -\frac{\beta_{\Pi}}{\tau} - \delta_{\Pi\Pi} \frac{\Pi}{\tau} + \lambda_{\Pi\pi} \frac{\pi}{\tau},$$

$$\frac{d\pi}{d\tau} + \frac{\pi}{\tau_R} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\beta_{\pi}}{\tau} - \left(\frac{1}{3} \tau_{\pi\pi} + \delta_{\pi\pi} \right) \frac{\pi}{\tau} + \frac{2}{3} \lambda_{\pi\Pi} \frac{\Pi}{\tau}.$$

Transport coefficients from kinetic theory for a massive Boltzmann gas.

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

KT vs. hydro 00000 Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

Second-order Chapman Enskog hydrodynamics (CE hydro)

Kinetic vs. hydrodynamic evolution Jaiswal et al., PRC 105 (2022) 024911



Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

Attractors

X.-N. Wang's 60th, 8/19/2022

э

30/42

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト





This is for CE hydro; similar results for MIS and DNMR hydro.

Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

31/42

(日)

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions 00000000

32/42

Anisotropic hydrodynamics (aHydro)

Anisotropic hydrodynamics Jaiswal et al., PRC 105 (2022) 024911

Starting from BE $\partial_{\tau} f = -(f - f_{eq})/\tau_R$, obtain the evolution of e, P_L, P_T :

$$\frac{d\epsilon}{d\tau} = -\frac{e+P_L}{\tau}, \text{ where } e = \int_p (p^\tau)^2 f,$$
$$\frac{dP_L}{d\tau} = -\frac{P_L - P}{\tau_R} + \frac{\bar{\zeta}_z^L}{\tau}, \quad \frac{dP_T}{d\tau} = -\frac{P_T - P}{\tau_R} + \frac{\bar{\zeta}_z^L}{\tau}$$
$$The couplings \ \bar{\zeta}_z^L \text{ and } \ \bar{\zeta}_z^\perp \text{ involve higher-order moments:}$$
$$\bar{\zeta}_z^L = -3P_L + \int dP \ E_p^{-2} \ p_z^4 f,$$

 $\bar{\zeta}_{z}^{\perp} = -P_{T} + \frac{1}{2} \int dP E_{p}^{-2} p_{z}^{2} p_{T}^{2} f.$

Close the system of equations by using the leading order ansatz

$$ilde{f}_{a}(au, oldsymbol{p}_{ au}, oldsymbol{p}_{\eta}) = rac{1}{lpha(au)} \, \exp\left(-rac{\sqrt{oldsymbol{p}_{ au}^2 + (1+\xi(au))\,(oldsymbol{p}_{\eta}/ au)^2 + m^2}}{\Lambda(au)}
ight)$$

using the method of moments. Molnár, Niemi, Rischke '16

Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

33/42

Anisotropic hydrodynamics (aHydro)

Anisotropic hydrodynamics vs. kinetic theory I



Near-perfect agreement with kinetic theory! Bounds maintained throughout.

Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

Anisotropic hydrodynamics (aHydro)

Anisotropic hydrodynamics vs. kinetic theory II



Near-perfect agreement with KT! Far-off-equilibrium attractor for P_L but not for π , Π .

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Prologue	KT vs. hydro 00000	Kinetic evolution	Hydrodynamic evolution	Conclusions •0000000

Overview

1 Prologue

- 2 Kinetic theory vs. hydrodynamics
- 3 Exact evolution in kinetic theory
- 4 Evolution in hydrodynamic approximation(s)
 - Second-order Chapman Enskog hydrodynamics (CE hydro)
 - Anisotropic hydrodynamics (aHydro)

5 Lessons learned

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

Prologue	KT vs. hydro	Kinetic evolution	Hydrodynamic evolution	Conclusions
0000000000000	00000	0000000	0000000	0000000

Lessons learned

- In weakly coupled systems that can be described by kinetic theory, Bjorken flow induces a far-from-equilibrium attractor for P_L/P.
- No early-time, far-from-equilibrium attractor in AdS/CFT for strongly coupled systems with Bjorken flow.
- At $\tau \ll \tau_R$ this attractor is controlled by approximate free-streaming dynamics (expansion-driven rapid cooling of p_z -distribution); P_L/P -excursions decay by power law.
- It smoothly connects the free-streaming attractor at $\tau \ll \tau_R$ with the late-time Navier-Stokes attractor at $\tau \gg \tau_R$.
- Only $P_L = P + \Pi \pi$ exhibits attractive behavior; π , Π don't (bulk-shear coupling).
- Standard dissipative hydrodynamics (based on expansion around isotropic local equilibrium) does not reproduce the early-time far-off-equilibrium attractor, only shows the late-time Navier-Stokes attractor.
- Anisotropic hydrodynamics (based on expansion around an anisotropic momentum distribution, optimized to capture the effects of early-time free-streaming in Bjorken expansion) accurately reproduces the early-time far-from-equilibrium attractor for P_L/P as well as the non-attractive dynamics of π and Π .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

36/42

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

Happy 60th birthday, Xin-Nian, and many returns!



Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

Attractors

X.-N. Wang's 60th, 8/19/2022

37 / 42

э

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

Thank you!

Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

Attractors

X.-N. Wang's 60th, 8/19/2022 38/42

3

Prologue	KT vs. hydro	Kinetic evolution	Hydrodynamic evolution	Conclusions
0000000000000	00000	0000000	0000000	00000000

Extras

Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

Attractors

X.-N. Wang's 60th, 8/19/2022 39/42

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

Hydrodynamic attractors for Gubser flow

- \bullet Gubser flow $_{(Gubser\ '10)}=$ long. boost-invariant + azimuthally symmetric, strong transverse flow
- Opposite to Bjorken flow, Knudsen number increases with time (exponentially) \implies asymptotic free-streaming, shear stress saturates at $\lim_{n \to \infty} \pi_n^n / p_{eq} = 2$.



Ulrich Heinz (OSU)

Attractors

X.-N. Wang's 60th, 8/19/2022 40/42

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Bjorken:

Gubser:

 $\tilde{w} = \frac{\tau T(\tau)}{4\pi n/s}$

(inverse Knudsen number)

 $\tilde{w} = \frac{2 \tanh \rho}{\hat{T}(\rho)} \frac{4\pi \eta}{s}$

(Knudsen number)

KT vs. hydro

Kinetic evolution

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

Hydrodynamic attractors: Bjorken vs. Gubser

CE third-order 0.25 $\eta/s = 1/4\pi$ – aHydro 0.8 - Exact BE 0.2 (H+3)/H e^{⊢ 0.6} d 0.4 28 0.2 0.05 $\eta/s = 1/4\pi$ $\eta/s = 10/4\pi$ (b) 10^{-1} 10^{0} 10^{-1} 10^{0} ŵ ŵ (a) b Exact BE aHvdro 04 10^{2} $-n/s = 1/4\pi$ $-n/s = 1/4\pi$ $- n/s = 3/4\pi$ $- n/s = 3/4\pi$ (**d**+3)/µ <)/µ $\overset{\mathbf{d}}{\overset{\mathbf{d}}{\overset{\mathbf{d}}}}_{\overset{\mathbf{d}}{\overset{\mathbf{d}}}}_{\overset{\mathbf{d}}{\overset{\mathbf{d}}}}_{\mathbf{d}}$ n/s = 10/4a $-\cdot n/s = 10/4\pi$ 10 -0.2 10 10^{-1} 10^{0} \tilde{w} 10^{1} 10^{2} 10-2 10^{-1} $10^{0} \tilde{w} 10^{1}$ 10^{2} 10^{-2}

Chattopadhyay, UH, Pal, Vujanovic, PRC97 (2018) 064909

Anisotropic hydrodynamics describes the underlying kinetic theory accurately even well before the evolution trajectory joins the attractor!



41/42

 Prologue
 KT vs. hydro
 Kinetic evolution

 000000000000
 00000
 0000000

Hydrodynamic evolution

Conclusions

Some speculative comments:

- Similar far-from-equilibrium attractors may exist, for different pressure components, in other effectively one-dimensional, weakly coupled systems when the Knudsen number is large (for example, 3d Hubble flow).
- IMHO unlikely that any of this generalizes to less symmetric expansion geometries (such as generic (3+1)-d expansion).
- By quickly decaying to the far-off-equilibrium attractor, memory of initial P_L/P value is rapidly lost before $\tau \lesssim \tau_R$. Memories of the initial shear and bulk stresses, π and Π , linger until after $\tau \sim (2-3)\tau_R$. Phenomenological implications for the apparent "unreasonable effectiveness of hydrodynamics in heavy-ion collisions" deserve additional study.

42/42

(日)